
LifeLine

Fall 2009



Newsletter of the National Pro-Life Alliance

National Pro-Life Alliance Members Fight to Prohibit Tax Dollars for Abortion

Abortion Lobby Seeks Massive Funding in "Must Pass" Budget Bills

In the opening days of his Administration, President Obama wasted no time showing he is no friend of the unborn by using his executive powers to overturn the Mexico City Policy and lifting the ban on federal funding for human life-destroying stem-cell research.

And now in the remaining days of this session, the battle against the Obama Administration's radical pro-abortion agenda has moved to Congress.

NPLA Members Gear Up to Deny Abortion Funding

With abortion advocates controlling the Congressional agenda and machinery, National Pro-Life Alliance Executive Director Mary King is warning of plans by President Obama, House Speaker Nancy Pelosi and Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid to sneak tax dollars for abortion into a host of so-called "must pass" bills in Congress.

"Legislation like budget bills, appropriations legislation, so-called 'economic stimulus' proposals and even the defense authorization bill are all places where the abortion lobby is hoping to slip in literally billions in tax dollars to both promote and fund abortion," warned King.

Following a series of mail and telephone campaigns alerting literally millions of National Pro-Life Alliance members and other pro-lifers around the country, pro-lifers have flooded the halls of Congress with petitions and voiced strong opposition in town hall meetings during the last recess.

Now in the closing days of this session of Congress, National Pro-Life Alliance

members and pro-lifers have been flooding Congress with pro-life Actiongram Telegrams, the first round of which were transmitted to Congress on October 9.

The Actiongrams demand that Congress completely deny tax funding for any organization that promotes or provides abortion.

Says King, "although public awareness of and opposition to tax funding of abortion continues to grow, October and November are critical months for pro-lifers.

"With budget deadlines looming, Senator Reid and Speaker Pelosi have both the power and inclination to quietly insert

hundreds of millions of dollars for abortion providers into budget bills that can run thousands of pages long.

NPLA Mobilizes Pro-Lifers to Oppose Abortion Funding Legislation

Mary King is calling on National Pro-Life Alliance members to double down the pressure on their Congressmen. And the Alliance staff is working to make sure that radical pro-abortion legislation is not snuck
*See **Abortion Lobby Seeks Alternate Avenues for Funding . . . page 2***



House Speaker Nancy Pelosi and Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid have the power and the will to slip federal funding for abortion in the budget or healthcare reform bills. National Pro-Life Alliance members are fighting to see that doesn't happen.

through the process.

Through targeted phone bank mobilization and a series of five mailings beginning in April and continuing even as this issue of *LifeLine* goes to press, NPLA has already generated literally hundreds of thousands of letters, phone calls, Actiongrams and post cards in opposition.

Since the beginning of the year, NPLA members and other pro-lifers have signed NPLA petitions and authorized Actiongrams to their Congressmen and Senators letting them know that there will be a political price to pay if they vote for tax dollars for abortion in the last days of the session.

Pro-Abortion Politicians Seek to Secure Taxpayer Dollars

Pro-abortion politicians are so committed to their radical agenda that they have proposed legislation that would require states, and therefore taxpayers, to pay for all abortions performed on Medicaid recipients.

Their measures would also directly channel \$700 million in taxpayer funding to abortion providers like Planned Parenthood under the Title X "family planning" program.

Taxpayers would also be forced to spend millions more to promote the abortion industry's newest abortifacient drug.

Other proposals would open the floodgates of tax dollars for abortion under the guise of "foreign aid," side-stepping Ronald Reagan's long-standing "Mexico City Policy."

Abortion Lobby Attempts to Use Healthcare Bill to Fund Abortion

Pro-lifers can already point to the national debate over healthcare as an example of the abortion lobby's desire to use any theme to advance their radical pro-abortion agenda.

As this issue of *LifeLine* goes to press, it is unclear whether the Obama Administration, Speaker Pelosi and Majority Leader Reid will succeed in



As of press time, Congressman Trent Franks (R-AZ), working with National Pro-Life Alliance, has already generated more than four hundred thousand cards, letters, Actiongrams and phone calls in opposition to taxpayer funding of abortion.

pushing radical pro-abortion proposals through a vote in Congress.

The abortion lobby's initial momentum has slowed as has the Administration's plan for healthcare reform. Both have met growing opposition by pro-lifers across the country who oppose the funding of abortion-on-demand with their tax dollars.

The essence of all the various proposals is to require everyone to buy health insurance and to obligate the government to subsidize the cost of health insurance for those who can't pay.

Despite denials by the likes of Senate Finance Committee Chairman Max Baucus, his bills and others are only thinly veiled efforts by the abortion lobby to secure taxpayer dollars for abortion under the guise of "universal healthcare."

Hyde Amendment History Proves that Abortion Must Be Excluded to Stop Tax Dollars

"The abortion lobby knows that if abortion isn't explicitly excluded, the Courts and Obama's own executive agencies will consider abortion to be a medical procedure or benefit that must be covered," said King.

The Medicaid program is a case in point. It was passed in 1965 without a provision specifically excluding abortion.

Pro-abortion judges exploited that omission to rule that abortion services must be included and paid for by taxpayers.

This public funding of abortion continued until the Hyde Amendment was passed in 1976.

Pro-Lifers Must Double Down Pressure to Stop the Subsidy of Abortion with Tax Dollars

Although the opposition to tax-funded abortion is large and growing, the issue remains critical to the abortion lobby.

King says that the healthcare bill in its current wording will increase abortions anywhere from 300,000 to 600,000 a year.

National Pro-Life Alliance has moved to recruit and mobilize new pro-lifers to oppose the subsidizing of abortions-on-demand with tax dollars.

With the help and support of Congressman Trent Franks (R-AZ), NPLA has just in the past two weeks sent mobilization mailings to NPLA members plus two million other pro-lifers in target areas to stop Obama's radical schemes.

All NPLA members are urged to continue to put the heat on their members of Congress to insist they vote against all taxpayer subsidies for abortion.



Life at Conception Act Reaches Record Support

NPLA Members Flood Congress With Petitions, Calls and Letters

While the Obama Administration, House Speaker Nancy Pelosi, and Democrat Senate Leader Harry Reid are increasing efforts to channel massive tax dollars to the abortion lobby, National Pro-Life Alliance members are not only fighting their proposals but are going on the offensive by increasing their pressure for a Life at Conception Act.

By legislatively establishing the personhood of the unborn, this bill would actually eliminate judicially imposed abortion-on-demand.

In order to fight against the Obama Administration's pro-abortion agenda, NPLA staff is also in the process of delivering hundreds of thousands of petitions supporting a Life at Conception Act together with its briefings for Congressmen and Congressional staff.

This member activism has been yielding surprising fruit, especially considering that the legislation is facing both a hostile White House and congressional leadership.

When Congress recessed for its summer break, the House version of the Life at Conception Act had already garnered more cosponsors than at the same point in the prior 110th Congress.

Following the bill's introduction, the combination of National Pro-Life Alliance member activism and staff lobbying has been adding cosponsors on a weekly basis with more to come.

There are currently 79 House and Senate cosponsors of the corresponding versions of the Life at Conception Act.

Life at Conception Act's Life-Saving Potential Makes It a Top Member Priority

National Pro-Life Alliance members have long led the fight in Congress for a Life at Conception Act.

That's because no other pending legislation would do more to defend the

lives of unborn children than a Life at Conception Act.

National Pro-Life Alliance members have long known that a Life at Conception Act would overturn *Roe v. Wade* by legislatively establishing that life begins at the moment of conception and therefore is entitled to protection under the law.

In its own *Roe v. Wade* decision, the High Court wrote, "If this suggestion of personhood is established, the appellant's case [i.e., "Roe" who sought an abortion], of course, collapses, for the fetus' right to life is then guaranteed specifically by the [14th] Amendment."

Life at Conception Act Uses Constitutional Language To End Abortion-on-Demand

A Life at Conception Act -- such as Senator Roger Wicker's S. 346 and Congressman Duncan D. Hunter's H.R. 881 -- does just that.

It declares the unborn to be "persons" under the 14th Amendment to the Constitution, and therefore entitled to the right to life guaranteed therein.

The fact is, the 14th Amendment couldn't be clearer:

". . . nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty or property, without due process of law, nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the law."

Furthermore, the 14th Amendment says: "Congress shall have power to enforce, by appropriate legislation, the provisions of this article."

In the past, Congress has used this power to define who or what is a "person" and the Supreme Court has upheld this notion.

For example, for certain constitutional protections, Congress has defined corporations as persons.

See **Life at Conception Act Protects Life in a Straightforward, Popular Way. . .** page 4



Senator Roger Wicker (R-MS) and Congressman Duncan D. Hunter (R-CA) have shown pro-life leadership by introducing the Senate and House versions of the Life at Conception Act -- a bill that would use the Supreme Court's own language to reverse *Roe v. Wade*.

Life at Conception Act Protects Life in a Straightforward, Popular Way

...continued from page 3

National Pro-Life Alliance President Martin Fox points out that "if a corporation can be deemed worthy of legal protections as a 'person,' clearly a human being shortly to be born and possessing its own unique set of human DNA is worthy of protection under the law."

Better still, as legislation, a Life at Conception Act only requires a simple majority -- a considerably lower legislative hurdle than a constitutional amendment.

Not only is the Life at Conception Act the best way to stop abortion-on-demand, it also exposes "wolves in sheep's clothing" -- those who claim to be pro-life yet refuse to lift a finger in defense of the unborn.

Life at Conception Act Separates the Truly Pro-Life from the "Wolves in Sheep's Clothing"

For example, in the 2008 elections, some pro-life champions like Senator Roger Wicker (R-MS) experienced problems in the polls when campaign debate over the protection of the unborn was buried by voters' economic and foreign policy concerns.

Smelling an opportunity, pro-abortion activists lined up behind former Governor Ronnie Musgrove.

Although Musgrove vaguely claimed to be "pro-life," he never answered the National Pro-Life Alliance Candidate Survey and repeatedly refused to come out in support of a Life at Conception Act.

Senator Roger Wicker (R-MS) responded early that he would support the Life at Conception Act -- a promise which he kept in spades by becoming the bill's lead sponsor this year.

To highlight the difference between the candidates, the National Pro-Life Alliance flooded voters in this strong pro-life state with more than 100,000 letters and candidate survey rosters exposing the clear difference in the two candidates' commitment to the protection of the unborn.



By using Congress' power to define who is a "person," the Life at Conception Act would restore the clear meaning of the U.S. Constitution's protection of the right to life.

In the end, Senator Wicker pulled ahead and ended up winning by a comfortable margin of over 120,000 votes.

This proved to be a nationwide trend, as every single cosponsor of the Life at Conception Act in the United States Senate up for reelection in 2008 was sent back to Congress by voters, a true testament to the success of National Pro-Life Alliance members' lobbying efforts and financial support.

NPLA Members Playing a Key Role in Close Congressional Elections as Well

The Life at Conception Act also gave a boost to many Pro-Life Congressional candidates.

For example, NPLA-PAC's direct financial support played an important role in the 2,000-vote victory of Congressman Tom McClintock (R-CA) and the 5 percent margin of victory in Congressman Brett Guthrie's (R-KY) election bid.

With financial and grass-roots support from NPLA members, Rep. Blaine Luetkemeyer (R-MO) pulled out a narrow 2.5% victory.

And the support and activism of NPLA members was crucial in defending pro-life champion Congresswoman Michele Bachmann (R-MN) in her narrow 3 point victory over her pro-abortion opponent Elwyn Tinklenberg.

With the Obama Administration and radical pro-abortion politicians attempting so many evil things, pro-lifers can't be content to just remain on the defense.

That is why it is urgent to keep life saving proposals like the Life at Conception Act on the front burner.

National Pro-Life Alliance President Martin Fox is urging NPLA members to sign petitions, as well as call and write their Senators and Congressmen to keep up the pressure to support the Life at Conception Act and oppose the slaughter of innocent unborn babies.



Radical Pro-Abortion Agenda Politically Toxic

Abortion Issue Could Change Makeup of Congress

The National Pro-Life Alliance and its members have always known that abortion-on-demand is morally wrong.

But recent events have again demonstrated that radical support for abortion-on-demand by President Barack Obama, House Speaker Nancy Pelosi and Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid is not only morally wrong but politically dangerous.

For example, the Obama Administration's stubborn support for federal funding of abortion is one issue on which they are quickly losing ground.

The issue has the growing potential to turn Congress' political landscape around in 2010.

Prior to his election, President Obama promised the National Abortion Rights Action League his support for misnamed "Freedom of Choice Act" legislation repealing all remaining pro-life protections.

And now, as this issue of *LifeLine* goes to press, it has been crystal clear that the Obama Administration is far and away the most pro-abortion administration in history.

Despite Growing Opposition, Democrat Leadership Continues to Push Radical Agenda

Mary J. King, Executive Director of the National Pro-Life Alliance, attributes many of the Democrat's political problems to the growing support for the pro-life cause that President Obama has unintentionally galvanized.

Public opinion polls have shown Obama's popularity plummeting at the same time that opposition is rising to the Administration's plans to spend as much as 710 million tax dollars to promote and fund abortion.

In fact, the prestigious Gallup Poll organization says that the number of people identifying themselves as "pro-life" is at an all-time high.

At the same time, President Obama has dropped to his lowest approval rating since his inauguration.

A recent Rasmussen poll showed that Republicans are more trusted in 8 out of 10 political issues over Democrats, including

abortion.

The Obama Administration's radical pro-abortion agenda has also pulled down his own Democrat leadership.

Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid, facing weak poll numbers in his home state of Nevada, could face Tom Daschle's same fate five years ago when, as Senate Majority Leader, he was voted out of office and succeeded by Life at Conception Act cosponsor, John Thune (R-SD).

A recent Mason-Dixon poll showed that only a third of the respondents say they would vote for Senator Reid. While most incumbents have a 2-to-1 favorable to unfavorable ratio, a majority of Nevadans have an unfavorable opinion of their Senator.

Abortion Lobby's Radical Agenda a Sword of Damocles

The abortion lobby's insistence on including tax-funding for abortion in both budget and healthcare proposals has been a political liability to Democrats by smoking out radical, pro-abortion sympathies.

Both the Obama Administration and the Democrat Congressional leadership have insisted that party members vote down five different amendments to specifically prohibit the use of any tax funds to promote or provide abortion.

Self-proclaimed pro-life Senator Bob Casey (D-PA) has taken considerable heat for claiming that there are no tax dollars for

abortion in any of these bills even after the pro-life amendments were defeated by his party members.

Furthermore, the Obama Administration is facing increasing heat over the radical nature of its activist judges and executive agency appointments.

A most telling example is Obama's appointment of the so-called Science "Czar," or Director of the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy, John P. Holdren.

Holdren's views on abortion are so radical that he views compulsory abortion an acceptable means of population control in the United States.


In a book titled *Ecoscience*, Holdren wrote that "even...laws requiring compulsory abortion could be sustained under the existing Constitution."

Democrats Scurry From Extreme Party Position

As a result, many Democrats who are actually pro-life or hope to straddle the issue are feeling the political sting of being associated as Democrats with Obama's sweeping pro-abortion nominations and proposals.

In June, twenty Democrats who represent strong pro-life districts attempted to diffuse the growing political pressure by

See [Radical Pro-Abortion Agenda Sparks Voter Outrage](#) . . . page 6



Gallup polling organization numbers show that people identifying themselves as "pro-life" is at an all-time high.

Radical Pro-Abortion Agenda Sparks Voter Outrage *...continued from page 5*

writing a letter to Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi asking for assurance that abortion would not be federally subsidized.

Another letter to Pelosi was issued by other embattled Democrats asking that any healthcare bill contain language "that makes it clear that no insurance company will be required to pay for an abortion."

Not unexpectedly, those appeals were ignored.

Popularity Slipping for President Obama

Just nine months ago Obama was getting a free ride from the fawning mainstream media and enjoying high approval ratings and majority support. That is no longer the case.

After a failed attempt at rushing healthcare legislation through Congress which included mandatory abortion coverage, Obama's approval ratings dipped to less than 50%, at least in part, because of the abortion issue.

The all-time low approval ratings and a majority disapproval rating come in conjunction with growing support for the Republican Members of Congress who have opposed the Administration's radical pro-abortion agenda.

A recent Rasmussen poll showed that Republicans led Democrats by five points for six weeks in a row and Obama can no longer push radical legislation without

being questioned.

National Pro-Life Alliance President Martin Fox believes that "the situation has spun so much out of control for President Obama and Congressional Democrats that they can lose up to 40 seats in the House in 2010."

Adds Fox, "if the Administration remains committed to its radical, pro-abortion agenda, the National Pro-Life Alliance and its Political Action Committee are committed to making sure the political consequences of their actions are as large as possible."

NPLA Candidate Survey Program Aims to Put Heat on Politicians in Upcoming Congressional Elections

As a national, grass-roots lobbying organization, the National Pro-Life Alliance is already gearing up its Candidate Survey Program to make sure that pro-lifers across the country are fully informed on where each and every candidate stands on the issue of abortion.

Long experience has shown that candidates are more responsive to citizen pressure at the time when they are out looking for votes.

With Congressional elections on the near horizon, the National Pro-Life Alliance will be putting every primary and general election candidate for Congress throughout

the nation on the record on ten specific pro-life issues including taxpayer funding of abortion, the Life at Conception Act, and the Parental Notification and Intervention Act.

"By insisting candidates answer the survey pledging 100% support for the unborn, NPLA members are an essential part of this program to keep candidates accountable," says Fox.

The goal of the survey program is to pin down which candidates solidly support specific legislation to protect innocent unborn babies and which do not.

In addition to each candidate's survey answers, National Pro-Life Alliance staff researches and sends members and pro-lifers each candidate's voting record on the Sanctity of Life.

In the last election cycle, NPLA mailed more than one million letters, aired 600 TV spots and made nearly two million total phone calls to well in excess of three-quarter million pro-life voters.

These alerts mobilize voters to thank the solid pro-life candidates and to flood their non-responsive candidates with post cards, calls, visits and letters demanding they pledge 100% pro-life support.

Thus, candidates are given a stark choice: stop dancing around the issue and oppose abortion -- or pay the political consequences.

*See **NPLA Members' Contributions Make PAC Largest. . .** page 7*



John P. Holdren, appointed Science "Czar", who believes in compulsory abortion as a means of population control; Health "Czar" Kathleen Sebelius, Kansas' radical pro-abortion former Governor; and Supreme Court Justice Sonia Sotomayor are all expected to further Obama's extreme pro-abortion policies.

In the face of this radically pro-abortion administration and Congressional leadership, Martin Fox says that “next year, the outpouring of post cards and letters from NPLA members demanding that the politicians answer the Pro-Life Candidate Survey and take a position on specific lifesaving legislation will be of special importance.

“Through this grass-roots lobbying, members force politicians to take a position on legislation that defines exactly when life and protected personhood begins,” says Martin Fox.

As the 2010 Congressional election cycle approaches, and with the Pro-Life

Candidate Survey program under way, the National Pro-Life Alliance Political Action Committee is also gearing up to identify and support candidates who will not only vote pro-life, but will also champion pro-life causes.

NPLA-PAC Is Top Ranked PAC Over Last Four Voting Cycles

According to the Center for Responsive Politics, the National Pro-Life Alliance PAC was the largest pro-life Political Action Committee in terms of total donations to candidates over the last three election

cycles.

In the last election, the win percentage of the 112 NPLA-PAC supported pro-life candidates was higher than both the largest pro-abortion political action committees -- National Abortion Rights Action League (NARAL) and EMILY's List -- thanks to NPLA members' generous contributions.

NPLA President Martin Fox said, “The grass-roots activism and financial contribution of Alliance members made the difference in a host of elections in the last three election cycles.”



Sanctity of Life Act Targets Pro-Abortion Judicial Tyranny

Bill Would Restrict Court Jurisdiction Over Abortion

With Sonia Sotomayor now safely ensconced on the Supreme Court, pro-lifers have another reminder that the source of the abortion lobby's power is judicial dictates, not popular, legislative support.

That is why National Pro-Life Alliance President Martin Fox is urging NPLA members and other pro-lifers to give no quarter to the abortion lobby's base of power by launching a legislative counterattack against the unbridled power of unelected, life-term federal judges.

Since the *Roe v. Wade* decision in 1973, the abortion lobby has received the aid and cover of federal judges who invent “rights” to protect the abortion lobby's grisly trade. The result is the slaughter of 1.6 million innocent, unborn babies each year.



Constitution Permits Congress to Limit Court Jurisdiction

Recognizing the importance of ending this abuse of power, Congressman Ron Paul (R-TX) introduced the Sanctity of Life Act (H.R. 2533) in this session to end the blatant pro-abortion judicial activism which has ended more than 48 million lives before they could even begin.

The National Pro-Life Alliance has

By ignoring clear scientific and legal evidence that human life should be protected starting at the moment of conception the Supreme Court has fomented callousness toward the Sanctity of Life.

already launched an initial salvo of letters to more than one hundred thousand pro-lifers to raise a protest over judicial tyranny and increase the pressure on Congress to pass the Sanctity of Life Act.

NPLA President Martin Fox says, “most Americans don't realize that Congress has the Constitutional authority not only to

define when life begins but also to limit the authority of the courts in order to bring an end to this pro-abortion tyranny.”

This key piece of legislation in Congress would do just that.

See Bill Aims to Eliminate Court Power to Invent “Right” . . . page 8

Bill Aims to Eliminate Court Power to Invent “Right” to Abortion

...continued from page 7

Article III, Section 2 of the U.S. Constitution states that “the Supreme Court shall have appellate jurisdiction, both as to law and fact, with such exceptions, and under such regulations as the Congress shall make.”

H.R. 2533 would exercise this authority by prohibiting any judicial review by the Supreme Court or lower federal courts of cases involving the regulation or prohibition of abortion, abortion providers, or taxpayer funding of abortion.

Raw Judicial Power Grab Protects Abortion-on-Demand for Full Nine Months

Lacking public support for abortion-on-demand, the abortion lobby has always relied on unelected judges for protection and to dictate abortion policy for the entire nation by inventing a “right to privacy” from thin air.

But the truth of the matter is that neither Congress nor any state legislature has ever enacted a law implementing our current policy of abortion-on-demand, while three fourths of the states have passed pro-life parental involvement legislation.

The Supreme Court, however, did more than just strike down state laws protecting unborn babies from abortion.

In *Doe v. Bolton*, the decision that accompanied *Roe v. Wade*, the Supreme Court actually protected the right to abort for the full nine month term by inventing a

completely unsubstantiated “health of the mother” requirement.

By expanding this requirement as broadly as any factors, including “physical, emotional, psychological, familial, and the woman’s age,” the Supreme Court created an excuse to permit abortions for any reason for the full nine months of the pregnancy.

It is this bogus “health” requirement that activist judges have used to strike down any pro-life laws that actually save lives.

Federal Courts Riddled with Pro-Abortion Partisans

It comes as no surprise that President Obama nominated activist, pro-abortion Judge Sonia Sotomayor to the Supreme Court who is expected to have a similar interpretation of the Constitution as Obama, especially when it comes to abortion-on-demand.

Sotomayor’s record on abortion judgments is thin, but it is largely expected that she will side with the so-called liberal members of the Court.

Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg, consistently described as one of the most unbending pro-abortion Justices on the Supreme Court, has not been shy on her pro-abortion stance.

In a New York Times magazine article she stated that she believed *Roe v. Wade* was a means to control population growth, especially “populations that we don’t want to have too many of.”

NPLA Members Work to Limit Court Jurisdiction by Pressuring Congress

“The time to act and put an end to pro-abortion judicial activism by reasserting Congress’ Constitutional authority is past due,” says Alliance’s President Martin Fox.

Activist judges have been allowed to have their way with the Constitution and the legislative branch for too long, striking down, by way of the “health exception,” even the lightest attempts to protect the unborn.

Federal courts struck down 26 state laws banning Partial-Birth Abortion that were popularly enacted.

Even though three-quarters of the popularly elected state legislatures have passed parental involvement laws, activist judges have blocked nine of those laws and circumvented the rest by creating wide “health of the mother” loopholes.

One federal judge overturned a law that would have required abortion clinics to comply with veterinary clinic standards.

Apparently animals have the right to better protection than unborn humans.

Maximum Pro-Life Heat Would End Judicially Protected Abortion-on-Demand

“It is important that pro-lifers from across the country who are fed up with activist pro-abortion judges dictating the country’s abortion policy bring maximum pressure to bear on Congress to assert its constitutional authority to rein in the courts once and for all,” declared Fox.

Even if the legislation doesn’t pass immediately, Fox is sure that the public attention will have positive effects in defeating pro-abortion nominees in future elections.

Says Fox, “if pro-life activists pour on enough pressure, pro-lifers can force politicians from both parties to ultimately win passage of the Sanctity of Life Act.

“But,” Fox adds, “either way, the unborn win. Unless we do nothing.”



LifeLine

Abortion Stops a Beating Heart

Newsletter of the National Pro-Life Alliance
4521 Windsor Arms Court
Annandale, VA 22003
Voice: (703) 321-9200
Web address: www.prolifealliance.com

Martin Fox, President
Mary King, Executive Director
Daniel Leiva, Legislative Director

