
LifeLine

Winter 2007



Newsletter of the National Pro-Life Alliance

Election '06: NPLA Members Help Pro-Lifers Weather Storm *Despite Election Results, Support for Life at Conception Act Grows*

Following the mid-term pro-abortion takeover of leadership positions in Congress, there is no question that the pro-life movement now faces new obstacles for advancing lifesaving legislation.

But the untold story of the 2006 elections is that, despite these setbacks, the pro-life movement in large part weathered the storm well in the mid-term elections.

The fact is that pro-life support in both houses of Congress is at record or near record levels.

Martin Fox, President of the National Pro-Life Alliance, credits pro-lifers' resilience in large part to the activism of

National Pro-Life Alliance members.

According to Fox "members' activism on multiple fronts changed the course of scores of tight races."

For starters, the National Pro-Life Alliance's Candidate Survey Program highlighted candidates' positions on key pro-life legislative initiatives.

The Alliance surveyed every candidate for Congress in both the Primary and General Elections.

NPLA members nationwide used these candidate responses to flood their candidates with mail encouraging them to take a 100% pro-life stand on the Candidate

Survey at the time when lobbying is most effective -- while candidates are out looking for support.

The candidates' survey responses were mailed to hundreds of thousands of NPLA members and other identified pro-lifers nationwide in the days leading up to the elections.

Thanks to generous contributions from NPLA members across the country, the National Pro-Life Alliance Political


See **NPLA-PAC ...** page 2



Marilyn Musgrave (R-CO)



Barbara Cubin (R-WY)



Robin Hayes (R-NC)

National Pro-Life Alliance members provided crucial financial support through the National Pro-Life Alliance Political Action Committee (NPLA-PAC) to numerous pro-life champions facing challenges from pro-abortion opponents. The grass-roots network mobilized by the Alliance's national Candidate Survey Program provided a crucial margin in the reelection of these pro-life champions who won by razor-thin margins.

NPLA-PAC Again Ranked First in Total Financial Contributions to Pro-Life Candidates

continued from page 1

Action Committee (NPLA-PAC) was able to help pro-life champions with critical direct financial support as well as independent expenditure campaigns in their Congressional bids.

NPLA-PAC provided direct financial support to 86 pro-life candidates for Congress in the November 7 General Elections.

Of those eighty-six candidates, 81% were successful in defeating their opponents.

Top-Ranked NPLA-PAC Outperforms Pro-Abortion EMILY's List

In contrast, the radical pro-abortion organization EMILY's List won only 43% of the races where they made an endorsement.

National Pro-Life Alliance President Martin Fox publicly thanked members for their enormous generosity in support of embattled pro-life Members of Congress.

For the second straight election cycle,

NPLA-PAC was also the nation's largest pro-life political action committee in terms of direct political contributions, contributing nearly \$200,000 to pro-life candidates not including its independent expenditures.

The National Pro-Life Alliance's mass mailings, combined with NPLA member activism, helped elevate pro-life leaders to Congress -- even winning close primaries in states like Ohio, Minnesota, Michigan, Idaho and Colorado.

The grass-roots activism and financial contributions of Alliance members made the difference in a number of general elections where Life at Conception Act cosponsors faced tough races that were decided by razor-thin margins.

NPLA Members Decisive in Razor-Thin Election Margins

For example, NPLA-PAC's direct financial support played a decisive role in the 449-vote margin of Congressman Robin Hayes (R-NC) and the 970-vote

margin of victory in Congresswoman Barbara Cubin's (R-WY) election bid.

With financial and grass-roots support from NPLA members, Rep. Jean Schmidt (R-OH) pulled out a narrow 51% victory.

And the support and activism of NPLA members was crucial in defending pro-life champion Marilyn Musgrave (R-CO) in her narrow 3 point victory over her pro-abortion opponent Angie Paccione.

All of these candidates were early cosponsors of the Life at Conception Act in the 109th Congress.

NPLA Members Help Turn Montana Senate Race from Rout into Squeaker

And while pro-life Senator Conrad Burns (R-MT) came up just one point short in his reelection bid -- the National Pro-Life Alliance's massive Candidate Survey Results mailings to pro-lifers in

See [NPLA's Candidate Survey ... page 5](#)

NPLA Member Activism Helps Secure Primary Victories for Pro-Life Stalwarts



Jim Jordan (R-OH)

Michele Bachmann (R-MN)

Bill Sali (R-ID)

Doug Lamborn (R-CO)

Tim Walberg (R-MI)

The activism of National Pro-Life Alliance members combined with the direct financial help of NPLA-PAC played a key role in electing five proven pro-life champions in primary elections in Minnesota, Ohio, Idaho, Colorado and Michigan. In contrast to their predecessors, all five are strong advocates of a Life at Conception Act.

NPLA Members Will Hold Politicians to Promises

Support for Life at Conception Act True Measure of Pro-Life Commitment

As the 110th Congress convenes to begin its new session, National Pro-Life Alliance members are gearing up for the next round in the fight to secure protection for the unborn.

While pro-abortion forces seized control of key leadership positions in last November's mid-term elections, many of the incoming Democrats ran on pro-life platforms in their successful bids to unseat their Republican opponents.

Furthermore, the pro-life claims made by incoming Democrats that unseated Republican incumbents were boosted by the Republican leadership's complete failure to bring action in Congress on any meaningful pro-life reforms such as a Life at Conception Act.

NPLA Executive Director Urges Maximum Pressure on New Congress

That is why National Pro-Life Alliance

Executive Director Mary King urged members to pressure their representatives to stand up to the pro-abortion leadership in Congress by supporting real pro-life protections such as a Life at Conception Act which would legislatively overturn *Roe v. Wade*.

According to King, "support for a Life at Conception Act is the true measure of a politician's commitment to protect the unborn."

Mary King is clear in her assessment of the outcome of the mid-term elections that by no means were the election results a pro-abortion mandate.

"Quite the opposite. Democrats traditionally have towed the abortion-on-demand party line in election after election and have suffered repeated losses.

"But in last year's mid-term elections, it looks like they finally took note that the radical pro-abortion-on-demand platform adopted by the National Democratic Party is a huge liability among the vast majority

of voters," explained King.

"Now that some politicians are saying the 'right things' in order to win elections, the task at hand for pro-lifers is to hold them to their pro-life campaign promises by continuing to demand meaningful pro-life legislation to defend the unborn."

No legislation would go farther to defend the unborn than a Life at Conception Act, which aims to end all abortion-on-demand.

Life at Conception Act Remains Most Promising Way to Defeat Roe

As National Pro-Life Alliance members are well aware, a Life at Conception Act would overturn *Roe v. Wade* by legislatively declaring that life begins at the moment of conception and is therefore entitled to equal protection under the law.

Ironically, a Life at Conception Act would use *Roe v. Wade's* own language against itself to protect the unborn from the moment of conception.

The Supreme Court itself admitted in *Roe*:

"If this suggestion of personhood is established, the appellant's case [i.e. "Roe" who sought the abortion], of course, collapses, for the fetus' right to life is then guaranteed specifically by the [14th] Amendment."

Since a Life at Conception Act uses the 14th Amendment right to life already in the Constitution to overturn *Roe* and requires a mere majority vote in Congress rather than the two-thirds vote plus state ratification required to amend the Constitution, it remains the best weapon available to pro-lifers to immediately end abortion-on-demand.

And since a pro-life, anti-*Roe* majority on the Supreme Court remains out of reach even if the Court's newest members -- Judge Alito and Chief Justice Roberts --



Life at Conception Act cosponsor Steve Chabot (R-OH), pictured above with members of NPLA staff, narrowly defeated his pro-abortion opponent with support from the National Pro-Life Alliance Political Action Committee (NPLA-PAC) and grass-roots pro-lifers.

See **NPLA Members Recruit ...** page 4

NPLA Members Recruit Record 102 Cosponsors for Life at Conception Act

continued from page 3

would vote to overturn *Roe* as hoped, passage of a Life at Conception Act remains the most feasible means available to overturn *Roe v. Wade*.

The National Pro-Life Alliance's campaign to pass a Life at Conception Act has been a calculated process involving massive grass-roots activism from members and pro-lifers nationwide to alter the political landscape in Congress and finally bring an end to the court-ordered slaughter of the unborn.

In the last session of Congress, the lobbying efforts of National Pro-Life Alliance members and other pro-lifers across the country were able to recruit an all-time record 102 cosponsors for Congressman

Duncan Hunter's Life at Conception Act (H.R. 552) -- nearly double the level of support from the previous Congress.

NPLA Members Demand More than Election Year Lip Service from Elected Officials

"Member pressure has been crucial in creating record support in the House for bringing an end to abortion-on-demand. Pro-lifers must step up pressure and demand true pro-life leadership," declared King.

"It's time for all of the new members

of Congress who rode to Washington on a pro-life message to show their commitment to the unborn by supporting a Life at Conception Act in this new session of Congress.

"Pro-lifers must make it clear that mere lip service is not enough as babies continue to be slaughtered by the millions in the name of 'choice' while Congress does nothing."

Since the Life at Conception Act will have to be reintroduced for consideration in this new session of Congress, members should call their Representative and Senators at (202) 224-3121 and demand they cosponsor a Life at Conception Act in the 110th Congress.



NPLA Calls On Senators to Ban Abortifacient Drug

Fight Over Chemical Abortion Continues in Democrat-Controlled Senate



In the decade following the Clinton FDA's political approval of RU-486, countless unborn lives have been snuffed out and at least eight women have died after taking the deadly drug.

As Congress prepares for a new session under pro-abortion Democrat leadership, the National Pro-Life Alliance and its members remain committed to stopping the spread of abortifacient drugs like RU-486 and the so-called "Plan B" abortifacients.

cient drug.

At the forefront of the battle is the effort to pull the dangerous abortion drug RU-486 from pharmacists' shelves. Since it was legalized, the drug has killed countless unborn children.

According to official reports it has led to at least eight documented deaths of women, 232 hospitalizations, and over 1,500 medical problems.

In response to this tragedy, pro-life leaders in the last session of Congress introduced legislation to ban the sales of the drug and review the process by which it was fast-tracked to pharma-

cies.

Rep. Roscoe Bartlett (R-MD) and 45 cosponsors introduced H.R. 1079, which would ban RU-486. The Senate companion bill, S. 511, attracted 9 cosponsors.

"Even apart from the deaths and seri-

ous side effects this drug has caused women, the National Pro-Life Alliance will continue to fight to ban this deadly drug because its sole purpose is to cause the death of unborn babies," said NPLA Executive Director Mary King.

FDA-Approved Drug Has Sole Purpose of Killing Babies

"Every NPLA member should contact their Senators and Representative to support an end to chemical abortifacients."

By luring women and young adolescents with the promise of an "easy and discreet" abortion, Planned Parenthood is ignoring the risks of these drugs knowing that the resulting promiscuity is bound to also increase the number of abortions.

Besides legislative attempts to ban RU-486, a government watchdog group has also attempted to reveal the politicized process by which it was sped to market.

Yet despite a Freedom of Information Act request, the Food and Drug

See [Bureaucrats Covering Up Fast-Track Approval ... page 6](#)

NPLA's Candidate Survey Program Mobilized Grass-Roots Support for Pro-Life Champions

continued from page 2

Montana, as well as the maximum financial support allowed by law given from NPLA-PAC, helped close the gap between Senator Burns and his challenger in the final days leading up to the election.

While the Republican Party and pundits had written the race off as a win for his opponent, Burns wound up coming fewer than 3,000 votes short of victory.

In addition to NPLA-PAC's direct financial support, the National Pro-Life Alliance did extensive mailings to pro-lifers using the results of the 2006 Pro-Life Candidate Survey and candidate voting records to highlight the stark differences between the candidates and their pro-abortion opponents.

Fox pointed out that historically, the party in power has almost without fail suffered large losses in mid-term elections. This past election was no different, with Republicans losing control of both houses of Congress to Democrats on November 7.

While Democrats capitalized on Congressional scandals and public discontent surrounding the direction of the war in Iraq, they generally steered clear of the abortion issue on the campaign trail -- and in many cases Democrats even ran as self-proclaimed pro-lifers in order to pick up seats.

In state after state, Democrat candidates for Congress claimed to embrace pro-life platforms, having apparently learned a valuable lesson from the previous three elections in which candidates running on the party's pro-abortion-on-demand platform were soundly defeated by pro-life Republicans.

Successful Democrat Candidates Rejected Party's Pro-Abortion Platform

In North Carolina's 11th Congressional District, Democrat Heath Shuler ran on a 100% pro-life platform in his successful campaign.

Democrat State Senator and Congressman-elect Charlie Wilson in Ohio's 6th Congressional District ran on a pro-life platform and touted his pro-life voting

record in the General Assembly.

In Indiana's 2nd District, Democrat Joe Donnelly ran on a pro-life platform as well, vowing to vote for the protection of the unborn "from conception until natural death" in Congress.

Pennsylvania saw two incoming Democrat candidates, Jason Altmire in District 4 and Chris Carney in District 10, win elections on strong opposition to abortion.

And in the state's United States Senate race, successful Democrat Bob Casey also campaigned on pro-life values in his election bid.

Democrats Took Advantage of Republican Leadership's Inaction on Pro-Life Agenda

In each one of these races, the Republican incumbents were generally pro-life, but their Democrat opponents ran on pro-life platforms and took advantage of voters' discontentment with the Republican Congress on a number of issues, not the least of which was Congress' failure to pass or even schedule votes on truly strong pro-life legislation.

Martin Fox faulted the Republican leadership in Congress with failing to even attempt a vote on any meaningful pro-life legislation such as a Life at Conception Act.

Many pro-life voters simply did not see any significant difference between the parties on pro-life issues.

Yet when given a contrast between individual candidates, the public solidly rejected the abortion-on-demand agenda.

Despite Democrat Takeover, Pro-Life Forces Continue to Grow

With many pro-life champions hanging on to their seats and many incoming Democrats claiming to support pro-life values, National Pro-Life Alliance President Martin Fox pointed out that pro-lifers have plenty to build on in the

upcoming session of Congress.

"While hostile pro-abortion leadership in Congress presents pro-lifers with new challenges in advancing pro-life legislation, it's more important than ever to continue the fight for the unborn.

"The fact is pro-life forces remain at record or near-record levels in Congress. We must now demand that incoming politicians from both parties that claim to be pro-life live up to their campaign promises and enact meaningful pro-life legislation," said Fox.

"With votes on judicial nominees as well as likely votes on taxpayer-funded abortion in the days ahead, NPLA members must send a clear message to these politicians that pro-lifers are watching and there is a heavy political price to pay if they betray the unborn."

NPLA President Urges Members to Hold Politicians to Pro-Life Campaign Promises

Fox pointed out that the very fact that many newly elected politicians rejected the Democrat party platform of abortion-on-demand shows that they are aware of the political power possessed by pro-life forces.

He urged pro-lifers to "remind them of this fact in no uncertain terms and demand that they follow through with the promises they made on the campaign trail."

Fox is also warning the new Democrat leadership in the Senate not to use a pro-abortion litmus test to block the nomination of pro-life judges.

"Senate Democrats would be well advised to remember how they lost control of that house to begin with. Voters threw out ex-Majority Leader Tom Daschle and handed Republicans control of the Senate for precisely that type of radical pro-abortion obstructionism and they'll do the same to Harry Reid in a hot second," warned Fox.



Bureaucrats Covering Up Fast-Track RU-486 Approval Process

continued from page 4

Administration (FDA) has stymied efforts to obtain documents detailing the Clinton administration's efforts to rush RU-486 to market under a fast-track procedure designated for life-saving drugs for terminal diseases.

This August, the DC Circuit Court of Appeals ruled that the FDA could keep over 4,000 pages of documents entirely secret, and parts of almost 2,000 more.

Those documents that were released, though, showed that the Clinton administration ordered government officials to "coordinate and promote the marketing of RU-486," circumvented five standard requirements for a drug to be certified "safe and effective," and more.

FDA Seeks to Keep Damaging Documents From Seeing the Light of Day

"Those papers revealed the Clinton administration's reckless drive to push a deadly drug to market, just to appease radical pro-abortion political allies," said

King.

"Who knows what else these secret documents would have revealed."

With the recent change in control of Congress, pro-abortion Democrats are expected to push to expand the availability of abortifacient drugs.

Democrats Seek to Force Pharmacists and Healthcare Professionals to Assist Abortions

In the Senate, Majority Leader Harry Reid (D-NV) introduced legislation that would require hospitals to lie to rape victims and say that emergency contraceptives do not cause abortions.

"Harry Reid's hypocrisy is astounding," said King. "The bill itself says that emergency contraception prevents a fertilized egg from implanting, effectively killing the earliest human lives," said King.

In the House, Democrats have introduced legislation forcing all policy holders to pay group health plans to offer coverage

for drugs that cause abortions, without making any exceptions for religious organizations with contrary beliefs.

The bill would also force religiously affiliated hospitals to provide these same drugs.

"This bill would not only deny the sanctity of the earliest human life, it would force Catholic and other religious hospitals and health plans to violate their religious principles," said King.

With their new control of Congress, pro-abortion Democrats may also seek to force pharmacists to fill prescriptions for abortive drugs in violation of their personal conscience.

Senators Frank Lauten-

berg (D-NJ) and Barbara Boxer (D-CA) have both sponsored previous legislation that would define "access to legal contraception [as] a protected, fundamental right in the United States" that cannot be impeded by the right to religious belief.

Among the drugs that would be covered are the so-called "Plan B" or "Morning After Pill," which frequently works as an abortifacient.

"The threat to pro-life pharmacists is very real," said King.

"This legislation could force pro-life pharmacies to either pay steep fines or shut their doors."

In a recent case in Wisconsin, one pharmacist who refused to fill a prescription for Plan B or provide a referral to a pharmacist who would be forced by a judge to take an ethics course and pay almost \$20,000 in court costs.

"It's not a stretch to say the next step for pro-abortion groups would be to require pro-life doctors to perform abortions or provide referrals to abortionists, even though they know that would kill an unborn baby," said King.

Every NPLA member is encouraged to write to their Representative and Senators to let them know they oppose any legislation infringing on pro-life medical professionals' freedom of conscience.

NPLA Members Fight to End All Forms of Chemical Abortion

While it will be important to play defense and oppose new, anti-life Democrat bills, NPLA members remain committed to removing RU-486 and the so-called "Plan B" abortive drugs from the market.

National Pro-Life Alliance members are encouraged to call their Congressmen and Senators and urge them to support this legislation and to do everything in their power to bring it to a full vote in both houses of Congress.

Members of Congress may be reached at (202) 224-3121.



Radical pro-abortion groups would like to make deadly abortive drugs as readily available as bubble gum to adults and underage girls alike.

Supreme Court Reviews Partial-Birth Abortion Ban

NPLA Amicus Brief Advocates Constitutional Protection for Unborn

The day after Americans went to the polls to elect their Senators and Congressmen, the Supreme Court heard oral arguments for the federal Partial-Birth Abortion Ban, which was signed in 2003 thanks to the intense lobbying efforts of National Pro-Life Alliance members and other pro-life advocates.

“The fact that pro-life advocates have come this far is a testament to the dedication of National Pro-Life Alliance members and others to passing sound legislation and confirming the right judicial nominations,” said NPLA Legislative Director Mike Muench.

“This work is bringing a new direction to the fight for a culture of life, and helping move more pro-life legislation forward.”

National Pro-Life Alliance members also funded an *amicus* brief with the court.

While the Administration’s position was that partial-birth abortion was a grotesque form of infanticide that should be banned, the National Pro-Life Alliance went still further to point out that the Ban protects late term babies who are persons deserving protection under the U.S. Constitution.

If it is upheld, the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban would prohibit abortionists from performing partial-birth abortions.

These are performed by extracting the unborn child from the womb, and while the head is still in the birth canal crushing the skull and vacuuming out its brains.

NPLA Efforts Helped Expose the Radicalism of Pro-Abortion Groups

The public debate over the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban has proven just how extreme pro-abortion organizations are.

“By revealing the evil of abortion in its most blatant form, NPLA members were able to demonstrate just how out of touch NARAL, Planned Parenthood, and pro-abortion Representatives and Senators are with the American public,” said Muench.

An overwhelming majority of Americans have voiced approval of the

ban in every poll.

And many politicians who voted against it paid a steep price in recent elections.

Senators Max Cleland (D-GA), Jean Carnahan (D-MO) and Chuck Robb (D-VA) were all defeated after the National Pro-Life Alliance and its members launched media campaigns featuring print and television ads pointing out their radical pro-abortion votes.

Former Majority Leader Tom Daschle (D-SD) was defeated after blocking action on the Ban in the Senate.

Ban Proves Right to Abortion Not Immutable

If the ban on partial-birth abortion is upheld, it would demonstrate that the current right to abortion-on-demand is not immutable.

Since 1973, when *Roe v. Wade* was decided, not a single ban on an abortion procedure has been passed.

Instead, pro-lifers were forced to resort to restrictions chipping away at abortion on the margins. In contrast, the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban would outlaw an entire class of abortions.

“It would be an historic first step,” said Muench.

“But there’d still be a long way to go to enact significant lifesaving legislation.”

Decision Will Provide Clues to Court’s Position on Abortion

The case has attracted significant attention because the Supreme Court had previously heard a similar case regarding Nebraska’s Partial-Birth Abortion Ban, which was struck down by a 5-4 margin in 2000.

Among the minority in that case was Justice Anthony Kennedy, who wrote that the ban was permissible.

Since that decision, Justice Sandra Day O’Connor and Chief Justice William Rehnquist have left the court.

Justice Samuel Alito and Chief Justice John Roberts have replaced them; both are believed to be pro-life judges, meaning that unless Justice Kennedy changes course the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban should be upheld 5-4.

It would be the first ban on any type of abortion since *Roe v. Wade* legalized abortion in all states through judicial activism.

A pro-life decision could mean a majority of Supreme Court justices want to pursue a new approach to abortion jurisprudence, and open doors to more legislative solutions.

Progress on Supreme Court Made Possible by Pro-Life Activists

The potential for a new course in abortion jurisprudence was made possible through the hard work of National Pro-Life Alliance members and other pro-lifers.

In 2005, President Bush appointed Roberts to replace the deceased pro-life Chief Justice Rehnquist. Later that same year, he nominated Alito to replace pro-abortion Justice O’Connor.

Thanks to the foresight and generosity of National Pro-Life Alliance members, a plan was in place and funded to help win Roberts’ and Alito’s confirmation.

NPLA Members Defended Chief Justice Roberts from Abortion Litmus Test

After Roberts was nominated, members deluged Capitol Hill with petitions supporting the nomination and financially backed a television campaign that targeted 14 Senators in eight states.

To increase their visibility even more, NPLA Executive Director Mary King gave a series of press conferences across the country.

See **Pro-Lifers Hopeful ...** page 8

Pro-Lifers Hopeful New Justices Will Steer Court in New Direction

continued from page 7



NPLA members funded TV ads to counter the radical pro-abortion opposition to Samuel Alito's confirmation. Pro-lifers are hopeful that Justices Alito and Roberts will uphold the right to life of the unborn in the partial-birth abortion case and others that come before the High Court.

"These fourteen Senators were chosen because they were deemed likely to apply an abortion litmus test," said Legislative Director Michael Muench.

NPLA Members Mobilized Against Filibuster of Justice Alito

When Alito was nominated to replace O'Connor, pro-abortion radicals became

unhinged and threatened to filibuster him in the Senate. In response, National Pro-Life Alliance members flooded their Senators with more than 165,000 action-grams, petitions and post cards supporting Justice Alito.

Members also funded a media blitz that included television and newspaper ads that targeted 13 pro-abortion Senators. In addition, National Pro-Life Alliance staff briefed reporters from such major publications as the *Los Angeles Times*.

National Pro-Life Alliance members played a key role in passage of the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban in 2003.

When President Bush signed the ban, it was a culmination of a 6-year lobbying effort that focused on grass-roots letter writing and petition drives.

National Pro-Life Alliance members alone produced over 1.1 million petitions, post cards, and letters right at the moment Senator Kennedy was threatening a filibuster, while many other pro-lifers across the country also mobilized in support of the Ban.

"The massive public outcry was crucial in winning this fight," said National Pro-Life Alliance Legislative Director Mike Muench.

"National Pro-Life Alliance members can be proud of their leadership role in making this law possible."

Decision Could Promote Passage of Farther Reaching Abortion Restrictions Supported by NPLA

While pro-life advocates hope the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban will be upheld, it is only a mere beginning to the battle.

"While passing the ban will be a major *political* victory for the pro-life movement," said Muench, "the law only restricts this one particularly brutal form of abortion."

Depending on how the majority opinion is written in the decision, however, the Court could open up new areas to pass pro-life legislation.

This could provide momentum for NPLA-drafted legislation like the Parental Notification and Intervention Act, which would provide parents with the opportunity to prevent a minor's abortion, and Rep. Duncan Hunter's Life at Conception Act, which would legislatively overturn *Roe v. Wade*.

The Life at Conception Act would legislatively circumvent *Roe v. Wade* by defining life as beginning at the moment of conception.



LifeLine

Abortion Stops a Beating Heart

Newsletter of the National Pro-Life Alliance

4521 Windsor Arms Court

Annandale, VA 22003

Voice: (703) 321-9200

Web address: www.prolifealliance.com

Martin Fox, President

Mary King, Executive Director

Michael Muench, Legislative Director